

European Standardization Organizations

HAS assessment update

Gonçalo Ascensão, Nuno Pargana



© CEN-CENELEC 2022

Your speakers today

CEN-CENELEC

Account Manager Manufacturing

npargana@cencenelec.eu

Gonçalo ASCENSAO

Account Manager Digital Solutions CEN-CENELEC



















HAS assessment: current contract



Background

- ► HAS contractor = EY
 - 1 April 2018 until 31 March 2022
- Issues with HAS budget:
 - ► March 2021: meetings between HAS consultants and TCs interrupted
- Causes for budget issues: new sectors (e.g. fertilizers, explosives), consultant management, etc

HAS assessment: current contract



Where are we now?

- As of 2022-02-04, ESOs can no longer request assessments in the frame of current HAS contract
- ► HAS assessments reports still being processed: 3
- Total assessments requests not handled (due to budget issues): 76
 - ► Machinery: 32
 - ► LVD: 15
 - ▶ RCD: 5
 - Medical devices: 4



HAS assessment: timeframe





What do we do now?

► CCMC will continue to request ENQ and FV HAS assessment via HAS platform on livelink → important to track assessments and follow up

Interim solution:

- CCMC to provide lists of draft hENs at ENQ and FV stages to:
 - DG GROW standardization unit
 - EC sectoral officers by sectoral CCMC PM
- EC desk officers might provide "assessments"
 - EC requests that TCs perform self-assessment of hEN against EC checklist
- CCMC PM: contact point with EC desk officer and coordinate with TCs for checklist completion

	EUROPEAN COMMISSION	
	Directorate-General for Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs Single Market Policy, Regulation and Implementation	
	Standards for Growth	
	Brussels, 16 November 2016	
	GROW/B3	
	Verification of conditions for the publication of references of harmonised standards in the Official Journal	
1.	INTRODUCTION	
Eur asso	e references of a harmonised standard ¹ are not automatically published in the <i>Official Journal of the</i> <i>ropean Union</i> (ΔJ). Before publication ² can take place, the Commission must verify ³ and, where relevant, ess various aspects to ascertain that the conditions in Article 10(6) of Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012 and in variant sectorial provisions are met.	
Wh cori	ticle 10(6) of the Regulation here a harmonised standard satisfies the requirements which it aims to cover and which are set out in the responding Union harmonisation legislation, ⁴ the Commission shall publish a reference of such monised standard without delay	
Art Pro bee req looi	al requirements. There is no presumption of conformity with requirements that are <u>not</u> set out in relevant islation and the standard must not imply that there is. ticle R8 of Decision No 768/2008/EC (Presumption of conformity) oducts which are in conformity with harmonised standards or parts thereof the references of which have m published in the Official Journal of the European Union shall be presumed to be in conformity with the uirements covered by those standards or parts thereof, set out in [reference to the relevant part of the islation] le 1 — Procedure before deciding to pablish references of a harmonised standard in the OJ	
_	Verified issue I: Verification of procedural formalities	Ron
	11: verification of procedural formalities verage by a relevant standardisation request and Union harmonisation legislation (Art. 2(1)(c), Art. 10(1))	
1.1	Is the standard covered by the requested-work programme of a relevant standardisation request made by the Commission?	
1.2	Does the subject matter deal (at least partly) with a product, service or other aspect regulated by relevant Union harmonisation legislation <u>and/or</u> can the standard on the basis of its actual content, be used to support application of legal requirements under Union harmonisation legislation (e.g. terminology and definition alone cannot conter any presumption of conformity)? (see also corresponding act)	5
1.3	Is the title of the standard translated into all official languages?	⊢
1.4	In cases of a family of standards or multiple parts only: Is there a meaningful set of different parts which could be cited in the OJ at the same time $\underline{\alpha}$ is then absence of other reasons for citing only a single part?	
1.5	In cases of revised versions of harmonised standards already cited in the OJ only: Are significant changes clearly indicated in the revised or amended standard (see SWD(2015) 205 Part 2, section 3.5; model Article 5 and the relevant request; SWD(2015) 205 Part 3, section 2.10.4)	?
	ansparency and inclusiveness during requested standardisation work (Art. 3(1)-(4), Art. 5, Art. 6)	_
2.1	Was the work item for the standard included appropriately in the requested-work programme? (see SWD(2015) 205 Part 3, sections 2.3 to 2.5)	⊢
2.2	Absence of any concerns as regards inclusiveness during drafting and adoption?	+
where	sence of a formal objection or any information on a possible objection (including other objected harmonised standards to which there are normative references relevanti? (Art. 11)	
	gal requirements aimed to be covered are indicated (Annex Z or equivalent informative element is available) and, where relevant, expressed separately for relevant Union harmonisation act to be supported? (Art. 10(6); SWD(2015) 205 Part 2, section 3.6; Part 3, section 2.8.4)	ſ
	rmative references made in clauses or sub-clauses which support legal requirements (SWD(2015) 205 Part 3, section 2.8.3)	_
5.1	Are all standards to which there are normative references publicly available, i.e. normative references have been adopted and/or standards are still valid, and are there no references to draft standards (relevant during the final assessment of an adopted standard only)?	-
5.2	Is it possible to have access in all official EU languages to the most relevant standards to which there are normative references? [1]	\vdash
5.3	Is there an absence of undated normative references?	\vdash
5.4	Complete normative reference chains (i.e. further normative references contained in a normative reference) need to be followed and each level verified according to 5.1 to 5.3. Is there absence of any concerns on these other levels of normative references?	1

Step II: Quantitative verification as regards the legal requirements aimed to be covered on the basis of the standardisation request (Art. 10(1), 10(6)) 6 Clarity and transparency of normative parts of the standard which aim to support the legal requirements aimed to be covered (SWD(2015) 205 Part 3, section 2.8.4)

Are the legal requirements (e.g. 'essential' requirements) aimed to be covered indicated clearly, transparently and in a structured way, so that Step III qualitative assessment can be carried out for each (in the case of Annex Z or equivalent informative element, this includes legally sound statements, references only to monative elements of the relavant studied, no references to the standard containers to the standard containers to the standard containers to the standard contain no references to the air standard.



Case 1: TC requests FWD/CD HAS assessment

- ► CCMC informs TC that no FWD/CD assessment is possible within the current contract
- ► TBs continues technical work





Case 2: Submission of draft to ENQ/DIS/CDV stage

- Homegrown: CCMC will request ENQ HAS assessment (for traceability)
- For parallel process \rightarrow follow the same steps \rightarrow CCMC to request assessment
- Enquiry will not stop (this is already the case today)





Case 3: Submission of draft hEN to CCMC for FV stage (homegrown)

(Option 0: TC decides not submit the documents to CCMC)

If CCMC receives a document, during in-check \rightarrow CCMC to follow up with TC on way forward:

- Option 1: Proceed to FV
 - ► CCMC edits document and makes request on HAS platform (if intended to be harmonized) → traceability purposes
 - At the end of the translation FV starts
 - Lists of hENs to be submitted to EC (case-by-case)
- **Option 2:** TC wants to wait for FV HAS assessment
 - Option 2a: TC prefers to reject submission
 - ► CCMC returns the document to TB (not edited)
 - **Option 2b**: TC prefers to proceed with submission of document, but wait for FV HAS assessment
 - ► CCMC edits document and makes request on HAS platform → traceability purposes
 - Lists of hENs to be submitted to EC





Case 3: FV/FDIS stage (Parallel work)

- For IEC parallel work, FDIS starts \rightarrow CCMC to make request on HAS platform (for traceability)
- For ISO parallel work, ISO to decide if FDIS starts (ISO and CEN leadership together with TPMs to agree on way forward :
 - Option 1: Proceed to FDIS/FV
 - CCMC to make request on HAS platform (for traceability)
 - ► Option 2: wait for FDIS/FV HAS assessment
 - ► Other options: de-coupling, abandon, etc





Case 4: TC requests PUB (after FV/FDIS) HAS assessment

► After FV: CCMC to inform TCs that PUB HAS assessment is not possible





How do to deal with backlog of assessments?

- ▶ 1st working drafts and ENQ assessments still needed?
- ► FV/FDIS assessments to be followed up
- CCMC to support in identification of pending assessment



Case 5: TC requests FWD/CD HAS assessment

▶ If WI timeframe allows \rightarrow TC may decide to request FWD/CD assessment





Case 6: ENQ/DIS/CDV ongoing or closed and system restored

During or after ENQ/DIS/CDV stage: TC leadership to assess whether ENQ/DIS/CDV HAS assessment should be requested when new HAS contract is in place





Case 7: FV/FDIS ongoing or closed and system restored (but before publication)

TC leadership to decide on the following options:

- Option 1: Proceed to publication
- Option 2: Request FV/FDIS HAS assessment

Assess if FV/FDIS assessment is needed under new HAS contract





Case 7.1: FV/FDIS ongoing or closed and system restored (but before publication)

Option 1:





Case 7.2: FV/FDIS ongoing or closed and system restored (but before publication)



HAS assessment: new contract



- EC launched call for tenders <u>'Technical Assistance to the Commission</u> for the Assessment of Harmonised Standards' on 2021-12-27
- ► Documents library \rightarrow <u>link</u>
- Deadline for receipt of tenders: 2022-01-31
- Evaluation of tenders received is now ongoing
- ► HAS contractor = ?
- Starting new contract: officially between 2022-04-01 and 2022-05-15
- ► HAS budget: 10 800 000 EUR

Tasks/duties of the Contractor



New tender specifications

Task 1: Administrative and logistical tasks to coordinate the tasks and work of the HAS Consultants including recruitment of the HAS Consultants; \rightarrow maximum 380 person-days for 24 months

Task 2: Horizontal verifications and checks of non-technical nature with regard documents to be assessed by the HAS Consultants \rightarrow maximum 460 person-days for 24 months

Task 3: Evaluation of the assessment results and the effectiveness of the assessment concept in order to make recommendations to the Commission \rightarrow maximum 180 person-days for 24 months

Task 4: Communication and information to the Technical Bodies of the ESOs and to the Commission services, in order to train and explain the beneficiaries of the system on its functioning so that they use it in the most efficient manner; maximum 200 persondays for 24 months

Current contract

Task 1: Administrative and logistical tasks to coordinate the tasks and work of the HAS Consultants including recruitment of the HAS Consultants \rightarrow maximum 340 person-days for 24 months

Task 2: Horizontal verifications and checks of non-technical nature with regard documents to be assessed by the HAS Consultants \rightarrow maximum 440 person-days for 24 months period.

Task 3: Evaluation of the assessment results and the effectiveness of the assessment concept in order to make recommendations to the Commission \rightarrow maximum 180 person-days for 24 months period

HAS consultant tasks



New tender specifications

Task 10: <u>Assessment of compliance of the documents</u> drafted by the ESOs with Commission's initial standardization requests (at least **75%** of the delivered person-days for each consultant), and

Task 20: <u>Communication</u> to the technical bodies of the ESOs to give clarifications and advice in order to contribute to the compliance of the drafted documents with the Commission's initial standardization requests (maximum **25%** of the delivered person-days for each consultant)

More communication and meeting participation: from 20% to 25% (maximum)

Current contract

Task 10: <u>Assessment of compliance of the documents</u> drafted by the ESOs with Commission's initial standardisation requests (at least 80% of the delivered person-days), and

Task 20: <u>Communication</u> to the technical bodies of the ESOs to give drafting advice in order to contribute compliance of the drafted documents with the Commission's initial standardization requests (maximum 20% of the delivered person-days)

Outcome of assessments



New tender specifications

'Compliant' (if the same conclusion is done by the Commission the publication of the reference in the OJ is normally possible without any specific notice)

'Conditional compliance' (to be used only in case of problems related to the dates of normative references to other inter-linked standards and meaning that, if the identified issue(s) is positively addressed by the ESO after the assessment, and if the same conclusion is done by the Commission the publication of the reference in the OJ is normally possible without any specific notice)

'Lack of compliance' (if the same conclusion is done by the Commission the publication of the reference in the OJ is likely not possible before the document is revised – a publication of the reference in the OJ with a notice would also be a possible option in some cases)

Current contract

'Compliant' (if the same conclusion is done by the Commission the publication of the references in the OJ is normally possible without any specific notice)

'Partial compliant' (if the same conclusion is done by the Commission the publication of the references in the OJ could be possible with a notice; such a notice shall be outlined in the report for already adopted standards and for documents assessed before formal vote)

'Lack of compliance' (if the same conclusion is done by the Commission the publication in the OJ is likely not possible before the document is revised)

Assessment of standards



New tender specifications

- At drafting stage (Optional)
- At Enquiry stage
- ► At Formal Vote stage
- ► Last flexible assessment can be requested if FV is lack of compliance → considered as feedback mechanism
 - Either as second assessment at FV or
 - After FV but before publication
- Not allowed to request assessments of published standards
- ► During citation, EC can request final assessment by HAS consultant → only for EC

Current contract

- At drafting stage (Optional)
- At Enquiry stage
- ► At Formal Vote stage
- On documents that have already been voted upon (Optional)

• One assessment per stage rule

Assessment of standards: new contract





Assessment of standards: new contract





Key learning points



- Current HAS contract: no more budget
- ► Gap between contracts:
 - ► Technical Bodies to assess options and remain responsible for decisions
 - ► EC desk officer might "assess" standards → EC checklist to be completed by Technical Bodies (Interim solution)
- ▶ New HAS assessment contract: 1 April 15 May
 - Increase of budget
 - ► HAS consultants: more communication/meeting participation
 - Introduction of 'conditional compliance' outcome
 - Introduction of last flexible assessment (in case of negative assessment at FV)
- When HAS system is restored \rightarrow FV/FDIS assessments to be followed up (with CCMC support)



European Standardization Organizations

Thank you! Any questions?

Next webinars

2022-03-08 - Online celebration for the renewed collaboration between the JRC and CEN-CENELEC

- 2022-03-10 Webinar 'How do standards benefit consumers?"
- 2022-03-15 Cybersecurity Standardisation Conference 2022 (cooperation between ENISA, ETSI, CEN & CENELEC)
- 2022-03-22 Webinar "Together to make stronger Standards for Europe: How can you cooperate with CEN and CENELEC?"
- 2022-04-21 Workshop 'Interoperability of security'