

4th Webinar for Standard Drafters - 2016-09-23

New 2016 improvements to the enquiry and formal vote procedure

Finalization stage of European Standards – What a TC Secretary needs to know!

Questions and answers

Questions asked by the Attendee(s)	Answers from the Presenter
<p>Can we have a second formal vote in case of a negative assessment even if we are still under the previous rules ?</p>	<p>In the decision's background document, approved by the Technical Boards, it states: "<i>Comments of the consultant(s) which could lead to a negative assessment shall be addressed and resolved during comments resolution after enquiry. If, despite all efforts, a consultant provides a negative assessment during formal vote, CCMC, the consultant as well as the TC and WG leadership examine (during formal vote period) which follow-up actions are required. The TC Secretary shall inform the TC about the outcome of this examination.</i>"</p> <p>A second Formal Vote is possible, however a BT decision will be needed in cases where the 1st Formal Vote results are positive and the TC wishes to return to a 2nd Formal Vote.</p>
<p>How to send final draft without formal vote to CCMC ? By e-trans or other address ?</p>	<p>In CEN, the TC has to inform CCMC by posting a Transmission Notice only onto eTrans into folder 3.1.5. Upon receipt CCMC will start the finalization of the publication. In CENELEC, currently only Enquiries having received 100% approval will skip the Formal Vote. CCMC will start the finalization of the publication once the Enquiry results are released, no action is needed from the side of the TC.</p>
<p>What about parallel documents with ISO lead, will 8 months still apply to deliver the enquiry</p>	<p>No, this only concerns home-grown European ENs. There is no change to the delivery timeframes that ISO/CS have in place for parallel work items.</p>
<p>Does the consultant assessment begin on the first day of the formal vote stage?</p>	<p>CCMC will request the assessment from the Consultants one day following the launch of the Formal Vote.</p>
<p>Can you proceed after ENQ stage to FV stage without a CRM (comments resolution meeting)</p>	<p>It is best to discuss the comments within the TC/WG following the closure of the Enquiry. By doing this those involved in the project can then reach consensus on how to implement the comments received and therefore produce a draft for Formal Vote that is agreed by all WG participants involved.</p>
<p>Does mean "translators" DIN and AFNOR?</p>	<p>In CEN the translators are AFNOR, BSI and DIN. In CENELEC the translators are AFNOR and DKE.</p>
<p>Does the new workflow also affect the translation of the prEN documents?</p>	<p>There are no changes to the translation at Enquiry stage.</p>

Questions asked by the Attendee(s)	Answers from the Presenter
all these changes are applicable also for revisions of existing standards?	Yes, they cover all EN projects.
"Is it possible to skip the FV, even when technical comments were received during the enquiry, knowing these will not be incorporated in the final version. (after considering the comments received)	Yes, if the TC/WG believe that it is not necessary to implement the technical comments received during the Enquiry, and instead shelve them for the next amendment or revision.
TCs are obliged to circulate a 1st WD in the TC that is to say to make it available as TC document onto the livelink platform, is it right?	Yes, although the decision does not stipulate how this is to be done. The new requirement is that at least 1 WD is circulated to the TC.
what happens to the consultant assessment if the FV is skipped?	If the FV is skipped there is no further involvement of the Consultant in the development of the project. The Consultant would have already been involved at the Enquiry stage where a formal assessment is made during the Enquiry translation period.
I am confused, the new process is that the CEN consultant will do the assessment during FV and now you just said it will be done during Enquiry?	The Consultant is asked to formally assess the draft standard during the Enquiry translation period and during the Formal Vote.
At the moment is it possible to ask for a tolerance of 9 months after the enquiry?	Yes, the 9 month tolerance still exists. The Technical Boards will discuss if the tolerance can be applied to extend the drafting period of the Enquiry draft from 8 to 12 months.
Is the circulation of 1st WD mandatory? (case of PNWI activated and proposed to CEN Enquiry while you are at it)	Yes, once the NWI is activated a 1 st WD has to be circulated within the TC within 4 months.
could the transmission sheets which we have to complete with the transmission of versions to Enq or FV be updated, please? It still asks for the number of TC-decision for launching the FV which is no longer necessary.	Yes, we will updated it.
Has anything been changed in the proof before the publication? I can not see an alteration in the progress... Best wishes Carola, Secretary of CEN/TC 275	No change here.
Is it possible for the same editor from CCMC to be allocated to both the ENQ and FV editing?	Yes, this can be arranged upon request.
When does the A deviation get added/approved?	This should be done during the drafting of the project at the early stages, before the Enquiry draft is submitted to CCMC.
Has the UAP process been completely stopped?	No it still exists in CENELEC. In CEN the Technical Board has to approve any request from a TC to follow the UAP track instead of the ENQ / FV procedure. A justification is needed for BT approval. Please contact your CCMC Programme Manager if your TC wants to follow the UAP track in CEN.
Is it correct that you cannot comment a German language FV in the future?	If a German translation is available for the Formal Vote it is still possible for members to comment on it.